PATENT LAW OFFICES OF DAVID MILLERS



6560 Ashfield Court San Jose, California 95120

Telephone: (408) 927-6700; Facsimile: (408) 927-6701 E-mail: Dmillers@millerspatentlaw.com

April 11, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/611,465

Title:

Efficient Redundancy System for Flash Memories With

Uniformly Sized Blocks

Inventors:

Sau Ching Wong

Filing Date:

June 30, 2003

Examiner:

Hur, Jung H.

Group Art Unit: 2824

Docket No.:

MLM003US1D

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith are the following documents in the above-identified application:

- 1. Return Receipt Postcard;
- 2. This Transmittal Letter (in duplicate); and
- Response to Office Action (8 pages). 3.

Conditional Petition for Extension of Time

If after the document(s) filed with this transmittal have been considered, an extension of time is required for timely filing of the document(s), an extension of time is hereby requested.

Fees

 \boxtimes No additional fee is required.

Please charge any additional fees required and credit any overpayment to Deposit \boxtimes Account No. 50-1767.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.:

ED 614 115 614 US

Respectfully submitted,

David T. Millers

Reg. No. 37,396

78 1 1 2005 5) IN \$74E UNITED STA

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Sau Ching Wong

Assignee:

Multi Level Memory Technology

Title:

Efficient Redundancy System for Flash Memories with Uniformly Sized

Blocks

Serial No.:

10/611,465

Filing Date:

June 30, 2003

Examiner:

Hur, Jung H.

Group Art Unit:

2824

Docket No.:

MLM003US1D

San Jose, California April 11, 2005

Mail Stop AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

This response to the Office Action dated January 11, 2005, which has a statutorily shortened period for response that ends April 11, 2005, includes:

Amendments of the specification;

A listing of the claims presented for further examination; and

Remarks given in reply to the Office Action dated January 11, 2005.